

MINISTRY OF GENDER EQUALITY, POVERTY ERADICATION AND SOCIAL WELFARE

Evaluation Report for blue print on Wealth Distribution and Poverty Eradication, Procurement Reference Number: SC/RP/36-04/2021/22

1. Date of Invitation : 08 December 2021
2. Closing Date : 14 January 2022
3. Bid Opening Date and Time : 27 January 2022 @ 10:00
4. Number of Bids Received : FIVE (5)

(1) MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS

| No | Name Of The Bidder | Bid Submission Form Properly Signed And Bid Document Initialed | Original/Certified Copy Valid Good Standing Tax Certificate | Original/Certified Copy Valid Good Standing Social Security Certificate | Affirmative Action Or Employment Equity Certificate | Founding Statement/ Company Registration Certificate | Remarks |
|----|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | AMLIH CONSULTING CC | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | expired | ✓ | Did not meet the requirements |
| 2 | NDEUTALA AMULUNGU | X | X | X | X | X | Mandatory documents are not attached |
| 3 | UNAM | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Meet the requirements |
| 4. | MANA MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Meet the requirements |
| 5 | KMK INVESTMENT CC | X | ✓ | ✓ | X | X | Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate and Founding Statement/ Company Registration Certificate are not attached |

2. TECHNICAL EVALUATION

Consultant Evaluation of Blueprint on Wealth Redistribution and Poverty Eradication Policy (2017/18-2019/20)

SUMMARY SCORING SHEET: Average Combined Scores of all Assessors

| | Max Score | UNAM | MANA Management Consultant | Remarks |
|--|-----------|------|----------------------------|--|
| Education• a) • Master's degree in Development studies, Monitoring and evaluation, economics or related fields; | 10 | 10 | 5 | <p>Qualification score is based on three categories:</p> <p>a) 0= no specific and relevant qualifications from any consultancy team members,</p> <p>b) 5= for relevant qualification from any consultancy member and</p> <p>c) 10= for specific qualification by any team member</p> <p><u>Summary</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MANA Management Consultant has a PhD in BA, PhD in Development Studies, Masters in Health Education and Promotion. No qualification in M&E UNAM consultants have MPhil in M&E, PhD in Public Policy, Masters in Public Policy and Master of Arts Conflict Studies. |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Experience (SCOPE): • 5 years of professional experience specifically in evaluating public policies and programmes, preferably in SADC. | 20 | 10 | 10 | <p>Experience score based on three categories:</p> <p>a) 0= for no conduct evaluation, b) 10= for conducted any component of evaluation successfully but not fulfilling the minimum years professional experience required and c) 20= for conducting an evaluation and met the minimum years professional experience.</p> <p><u>Summary</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MANA Management Consultant has done a GBV and learners pregnancy project. Their experience is more in the health sector. • UNAM broader experience in developing programmes and tools and more agricultural focused sector-based evaluation in agriculture. Less experience in evaluations (Assessed the Food Bank, assessment of food loss in Namibia) |
| Sub-Total | 30 | 20 | 15 | |
| Scope of Application: CV of the Consultant and 2 or 3 references of most recent work in related assignments. | 10 | 5 | 5 | <p>Scope of application score is based on</p> <p>a) 0= for none reference, b) 5= for at least one relevant reference and c) 10= for three relevant reference</p> <p><u>Summary</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MANA Management Consultant - submitted the CVs without references • UNAM: Submitted the CVs without references |
| Methodology & feasibility: • Must have a detailed Methodology and Work Plan with clear Deliverables and Timelines | 30 | 10 | 10 | <p>The methodology and feasibility score is based on three categories:</p> <p>a) 0 = for not outline proper methodology/not attaching the methodology, b) 10 = or partially outline the methodology and c) 30 = for providing a complete and clear methodology.</p> <p><u>Summary</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MANA Management Consultant - the methodology is not detailed and attached work plan • UNAM - methodology not aligned to the tasked. Workplan is attached. |
| Sub-Total | 40 | 15 | 15 | |


| | | | | |
|---|----|----|----|--|
| Total Score (max. 70 points): | 70 | 35 | 30 | |
| Average Total Score (max. 70 points): | | 35 | 30 | |
| Is offer or technically qualified (min. 70%) = min. 50 points (Y/N) * | | NO | NO | |
| **If Yes, please proceed with financial evaluation. | | | | |

3. FINANCIAL COMPARISON (BUDGET: N\$ 500,000.00)

| No | Name Of The Bidder | Amount | Grand Total | Ranking | Remarks |
|----|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|---|
| 1 | MANA MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS | 470 005.00 | 470 005.00 | 1 | Not recommended, did not meet the technical requirement |
| 2 | UNAM | 1 061 450.00 | 1 061 450.00 | 2 | Not recommended, did not meet the technical requirement |

A. RECOMMENDATION

The Bid Evaluation Committee recommends for the re-invitation of bids as there is no substantially responsive bidder who meets the qualification criteria specified in the bidding document.



 Penoshinge Shililifa
 Chairperson of the Bid Evaluation Committee

16-02-2022
 Date

Recommended/As Amended/Not Recommended

 Mr. Nicholas Mbingeneeko
 Chairperson of Procurement Committee

18/02/2022
 Date

Approved/As Amended/Not Approved

 Ms. Esther Lusepani
 Executive Director

10/05/2022
 Date

